



HIGH-PRECISION SCATTERING AMPLITUDES FROM AUTOMATED TOOLS

Fabian Lange, Natalie Schär and Max Zoller

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

The Standard Model describes the known forms of matter and forces with only 17 elementary particles.



Testing the Standard Model at the LHC in search of new particles

High-energy collisions of protons (14 TeV) produce **huge amount of particles** \rightarrow measured in highly sophisticated detectors (ATLAS, CMS, etc.)

Experimental data compared to **theoretical simulations**



But some **big puzzles are beyond the Standard Model**

What is **Dark Matter**?

Why more **Matter** than **Antimatter**?

Quantum nature of gravity?

https://cmsexperiment.web.cern.ch/sites/cmsexperiment.web.cern.ch/files/field/image/display1 hd 0.pm

The search for small deviations from the Standard Model makes experimental data and theoretical simulations for a very wide range of processes at the highest precision crucial.

Scattering amplitudes in perturbation theory

. . .

Elementary building blocks: Feynman rules for propagation and interaction $\operatorname{corr} = \frac{-\mathrm{i}g^{\mu\nu}}{p^2}, \quad \longrightarrow \quad = \frac{-\mathrm{i}p}{p^2 - m^2}, \quad \operatorname{corr} \propto g_s, \quad \operatorname{corr} \propto g_s, \quad \mathrm{etc.}$

Compute amplitude of a scattering process from sum of Feynman diagrams, e.g.



OPENLOOPS – automated amplitude calculation @ NLO Exploit **factorisation** of one-loop diagrams into **universal building blocks**



- Expansion in coupling constants, e.g. g_s . Higher precision $\widehat{=}$ more loops
- Each loop: Integration over D-dimensional energy-momentum vector q_i . Integrals are computed in $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ dimensions, where ε regularizes divergences.

Complexity grows strongly with number of loops and external particles limiting analytical calculations

 \Rightarrow Automated numerical tools enable studies of many processes @ NLO in a short time, e.g. OPENLOOPS 2 [Buccioni, Lang, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, Zhang, M.Z. 2019].

• Numerical calculations are performed in integer dimensions. \Rightarrow Split loop integral numerators into 4-dim part \mathcal{N} and (D-4)-dim part \mathcal{N} . cut-opened loop Cascioli, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini 2011; Buccioni, Lang, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, Zhang, M.Z. 2019]

$$\mathcal{N}_n(\boldsymbol{q}) = \mathcal{N}_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cdot S_n(\boldsymbol{q}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_n}_{\beta_0}$$

Tensor integrals: On-the-fly reduction [Buccioni, Pozzorini, M.Z. 2017], external tools [Denner, Dittmaier, Hofer; van Hameren]

Restoration of (D - 4)-dim numerator parts together with renormalization procedure **R** through universal rational counterterms [Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau 2008]

$$\mathbf{R}\left[\neg \overbrace{\downarrow}\right]_{\text{D-dim}} = \left[\neg \overbrace{\downarrow} + \neg \neg \bigotimes \times \left(\underbrace{\delta Z_{1,\Gamma}}_{\text{subtract divergence}} + \underbrace{\delta \mathcal{R}_{1,\Gamma}}_{\text{restore }\tilde{\mathcal{N}}\text{-term}}\right)\right]_{4\text{-dim}}$$

 \Rightarrow Completely general and highly efficient algorithm and tool

Our approach @ NNLO



Numerical construction of 4-dim tensor coefficients Exploit factorisation into universal building blocks $\mathcal{K}_n \in \{S_n^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{q}_i), \mathcal{V}_{0,1}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2)\}$ in a new and completely general algorithm [Pozzorini, N.S., M.Z. 2022] with recursion steps $\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) = \mathcal{N}_{n-1}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathcal{K}_n$ Highly efficient and fully implemented for QED and QCD corrections to the Standard Model

Reduction of tensor integrals \longrightarrow large set of scalar integrals $\mathcal{I}_k \longrightarrow$ small set of master integrals \mathcal{M}_l

$$\int \mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{D}} q_1 \int \mathrm{d}^{\mathcal{D}} q_2 \frac{q_1^{\mu_1} \cdots q_1^{\mu_r} q_2^{\nu_1} \cdots q_2^{\nu_s}}{\prod_{i \in I} D_j^{(i)}(q_i)} \longrightarrow \sum_k B_k^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r \nu_1 \cdots \nu_s} \mathcal{I}_k \longrightarrow \sum_{k,l} B_k^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r \nu_1 \cdots \nu_s} C_{kl} \mathcal{M}_l$$







• Currently the bottle neck of NNLO automation \Rightarrow powerful new methods to be developed

 \imath,\jmath

• Step $\mathcal{I}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_l$ uses integration-by-parts relations [Chetyrkin, Tkachov 1981], e.g. implemented in KIRA [Maierhöfer, Usovitsch, Uwer 2017; Klappert, F.L., Maierhöfer, Usovitsch 2020]

Restoration of (D - 4)-dim numerator parts via small set of universal two-loop rational terms [Lang, Pozzorini, Zhang, M.Z. 2020, 2021] stemming from the interplay of \mathcal{N} with ultraviolet (UV) or infrared (IR) divergences.



Conclusions

Automated tools @ NLO have played a key role in the success of the LHC. Similar tools @ NNLO are highly desirable to meet the precision demands of the next years. While there has been huge progress in this field, new methods still need to be developed by our group and others to reach this goal.